

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/615,213 07/07/2003 William E. Bay 1946/1G906-US2 8060 **EXAMINER** 07/28/2005 7590 DARBY & DARBY P.C. DESAI, RITA J 805 Third Avenue ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER New York, NY 10022 1625

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W.		
p.C.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/615,213	BAY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rita J. Desai	1625
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY REPLODED FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE A MONTH (S) FROM		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/23/04.		
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 29</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 29</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
•	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
		·
Attachment(s)	<b>-</b>	
1)' Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 1625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims pending 1-22, and 29 as given in the amendment of 12/23/04.

The P. amendment of 6/08/05 has not been entered since the amendment is not signed.

The claims 1-22 and new claim 29 rejection under 35 USC 102 over WO 96/30036 still stands and can be changed to a 103 obvious rejection.

Applicants arguments that the amount of sodium hydroxide used is not sufficient to make the di-sodium salt is not convincing.

The claims of the reference clearly claims "and salts thereof".

The different solutions used are HCL and NaOH. It would be **inherent** that the salts formed would include the mono and di – sodium salts, since clearly 2 OH sites are available.

Also during delivery (in solution) the compound would be ionized and hence the method of administration is also obvious.

The new claims 29 is also rejected since the same reference also teaches the drug delivery of salmon calcitonin. See pages 45 and 46 for example.

Thus the rejections still stand.

Art Unit: 1625

## Conclusion

The claims 1-22 and 29 still stand rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rita J. Desai

**Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1625 Mesar 7/26/08

R.D. July 25, 2005